

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

INVESTIGATION OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIX- AND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS AT POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE THRUST AT MACH NUMBERS UP TO 0.90, INCLUDING SOME AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 TWO- AND FOUR-BLADE, SINGLE-ROTATION PROPELLERS

By John H. Walker and Robert M. Reynolds

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, Calif.

CLASSIFICATION CANO	
Authority naca Res. alet. + Cate	EH. LEG
Authority Dava Res . aled. of Cate	3 /4:34
LN-101 See	
See See	A Tribuna

FISHAUA COPA

QCT = 0 195%

LENGLEY ARRONAUTH A LAPORATORY
LENGLY NAME
ANTHE VEHICLE, VIRGINIA

CLASSIFIED DOCUMEN

FIGNI CANICELLED

This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the explorage large, Title 16, U.S.C., Secs. 755 and 796, the transmission or revalation of which is any manner to the mentionized person is produkted by law.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

WASHINGTON

October 8, 1954

CONFIDENTIAL



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

INVESTIGATION OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIX- AND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS AT POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE THRUST AT MACH NUMBERS UP TO 0.90, INCLUDING SOME AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 TWO- AND FOUR-BLADE. SINGLE-ROTATION PROPELLERS

By John H. Walker and Robert M. Reynolds

SUMMARY

An investigation has been made to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers when operating at positive and negative thrust at Mach numbers up to 0.90 and when operating at near static conditions. The dual-rotation propellers were operated in combination with a long spinner and at blade angles from -20° to 70°. The aerodynamic characteristics of the six-blade propeller when operating at positive thrust with an NACA 1-series spinner were also determined through a Mach number range from 0.60 to 0.90 for a blade angle of 65°. Results of limited tests made to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 two- and four-blade, single-rotation propellers when operating at negative thrust are also included for comparison. All tests were made at an angle of attack of 0°, and the majority of tests were conducted at a Reynolds number of 1.0 million per foot.

The performance of the dual-rotation propellers was not adversely affected by compressibility up to a Mach number of about 0.65. At this Mach number, and for a blade angle of 65° , the six- and eight-blade propellers had maximum efficiencies of about 85 percent.

Increasing the total solidity by increasing the number of blades from six to eight resulted in a nearly proportional increase in power absorption with an accompanying decrease in maximum efficiency of about 2 percent.

The efficiencies of the propeller in combination with an NACA 1-series spinner were lower, by about 2 percent, than those of the propeller with a longer spinner.

There were no significant compressibility effects on the negativethrust characteristics of the dual-rotation propellers up to a Mach number of 0.60.



The thrust per horsepower for operation of the six- and eight-blade propellers at near static conditions varied with power disc loading as predicted by actuator-disc theory for static conditions, but the values measured were lower by about 28 and 26 percent, respectively. For the design power disc loading of about 21 horsepower per square foot, the dual propellers produced about three pounds of thrust per horsepower at near static conditions.

INTRODUCTION --

The high power available from modern gas-turbine engines has indicated a need for additional research on propellers. This is especially true in regard to dual-rotation propellers since the advantages of these propellers, over the single-rotation type capable of absorbing equal power, are smaller diameter, higher efficiency, absence of reaction torque, and less noise. However, the increased weight and complexity of the dual-rotation propeller are disadvantages that must be considered. Whereas much research has been conducted on single-rotation propellers during the past five years, such as reported in references 1 to 7, only limited research on dual-rotation propellers has been carried out during this period. One investigation of a dual-rotation propeller (ref. 8) has been carried to high subsonic speeds but the propeller used for those tests was designed for an advance-diameter ratio of 7.15 which is considerably higher than the advance-diameter ratios being considered for current designs.

In addition to the need for more data in the positive-thrust range, there has been an increase in the demand for data on propeller performance characteristics in the negative-thrust range, because of the desire to utilize the negative thrust for landing and maneuvering and because of the need to calculate the extremely large drag of turbine-engine-propeller combinations which results in the event of engine failure. To alleviate the large drag forces which result when the propeller is driving an engine requires automatic propeller controls to either declutch the propeller __ from the engine or feather the propeller. The design of these controls requires detailed information on the thrust-torque characteristics of the propeller throughout a wide range of propeller blade angles and airspeeds. There are available a number of reports relating to the performance of propellers operating at negative thrust. However, most of the data, such as those presented in reference 9 for propellers of older design and in_ references 4 and 5 for propellers of more current design, were obtained at low speeds. The negative-thrust characteristics of a three-blade propeller of current design operating at Mach numbers up to 0.80 are presented in reference 6.

Inasmuch as one of the greatest advantages of the turbine-propeller combination over other means of airplane propulsion is the reduction in take-off run resulting from the large thrust available at low speed, there



is a need for additional data pertaining to the thrust-torque relationship for propellers at static and near static conditions. The most recent data concerning this condition for single-rotation propellers are reported in references 4, 5, 6, and 10; and results of previous investigations of numerous single- and dual-rotation propellers are correlated in reference 11.

An investigation has been made in the Ames 12-foot pressure wind tunnel to provide additional data useful in the design and development of modern high-speed propellers. Presented herein are the force-test results for the NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers when producing positive and negative thrust at Mach numbers to 0.90 and when producing positive thrust at near static conditions. Comparisons have been made to show the effects of total solidity, of spinner shape, and of blade-angle differential between the front and rear units of the dual propeller. Results of limited tests of the NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 two- and four-blade, single-rotation propellers are included for purposes of comparison. All tests were made at an angle of attack of 0° and most of the data were obtained at a Reynolds number of 1.0 million per foot.

NOTATION

a speed of sound

B number of blades

b blade width

 C_{P} power coefficient, $\frac{P}{\rho n^{3}D^{5}}$

Cp' power coefficient corrected for activity factor, integrated lift coefficient, and thickness ratio (ref. 11)

 c_{T} thrust coefficient, $\frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{pn}^2\mathrm{D}^4}$

 \mathtt{c}_{ld} blade section design lift coefficient

D propeller diameter

HP horsepower

h maximum thickness of blade section

J advance-diameter ratio, $\frac{V_O}{nD}$

M Mach number, $\frac{V}{a}$



Мt	tip Mach number, $M\sqrt{1+(\pi/J)^2}$
n	propeller rotational speed
P	power
R	propeller tip radius
Rn	Reynolds number per foot, $\frac{\rho V}{\mu}$
r	blade section radius
s	propeller disc area
T	thrust
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{c}}$	thrust coefficient, $\frac{T}{\rho V^2 D^2}$
V	velocity
v_{o}	equivalent free-air velocity
В	propeller blade angle at 0.75 R
βđ	design propeller section blade angle
Δβ	difference in blade angle between the front and rear components of the dual-rotation propeller, β_F - β_R
n	efficiency, $\frac{C_{\mathrm{T}}}{C_{\mathrm{P}}}$ J
1	air viscosity
٥	air density
	Subscripts

- F front component of dual-rotation propeller
- R rear component of dual-rotation propeller



MODEL AND APPARATUS

1000-Horsepower Propeller Dynamometer

The 1000-horsepower propeller dynamometer used for this investigation in the Ames 12-foot pressure wind tunnel is described in reference 4. The dynamometer was modified for the testing of dual-rotation propellers by the installation of a gear box within the dynamometer housing and a torquemeter on each of two concentric propeller drive shafts. The rotational speeds of the front and rear propellers were the same. The torquemeters were mounted in such a manner that mechanical losses in the gear box were not present in the measured torque. The only frictional losses included in the measured torque were from a roller bearing and a neoprene pressure seal between the propeller shafts, and from the carbon-ring pressure seal described in reference 4. These losses were small and were accounted for by calibrations. A photograph of the dynamometer with the six-blade, dual-rotation propeller is shown in figure 1.

Propellers

The NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers and the NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 four-blade, single-rotation propeller (described in ref. 4) were models of propellers designed for the following full-scale conditions:

Altitude, ft 35,000 Mach number 0.80 Horsepower 5,600)
Advance-diameter ratio	
Six- and eight-blade dual 4.2	_
Four-blade single	•
Diameter, ft	
Six-blade dual	
Four-blade single 23	5
Total activity factor, $\left[\frac{100,000}{16} \text{ B} \int_{0.2}^{1.0} \left(\frac{\text{b}}{\text{D}}\right) \left(\frac{\text{r}}{\text{R}}\right)^3 d\left(\frac{\text{r}}{\text{R}}\right)\right]$	
Six-blade dual	ļ.
Eight-blade dual	ŝ
Four-blade single	
rom-prace grugge	F

The NACA 16-series airfoil section was used for the blade sections. Blade width ratio, thickness ratio, section design lift coefficient, and twist distribution are shown by the blade-form curves in figure 2. It may



be noted that for this investigation, the four-blade propeller described in reference 4 was modified inboard of r/R = 0.25.

Except for total solidity, the NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller was identical to the eight-blade propeller and the NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 two-blade, single-rotation propeller was identical to the four-blade propeller.

Spinners

Most of the tests of the dual-rotation propellers were made with a spinner having a maximum diameter of 6.51 inches and a length of 22.71 inches. The forward portion (9.77 inches) was contoured to the NACA 1-series profile. This long spinner was used so the inboard blade sections would operate in a nearly uniform air stream. A photograph and details of this spinner, designated as spinner A, are shown in figures 3(a) and 4(a).

Limited tests were made of the six-blade, dual-rotation propeller with an NACA 1-46.5-085 spinner (ref. 12), having a maximum diameter of 7.23 inches and a length of 13.22 inches. This spinner is designated as spinner B and is described in figures 3(b) and 4(b).

The NACA 1-46.5-047 spinner (ref. 13), having a maximum diameter of 6.51 inches and a length of 6.58 inches, was used with the single-rotation propellers. A photograph and details of this spinner, designated as spinner C, are shown in figures 3(c) and 4(c).

The platform junctures used with each propeller-spinner combination are also shown in figures 3 and 4.

Instrumentation and Calibrations

The instrumentation of the dynamometer for the single-rotation propeller tests was identical to that described in reference 4. The dual-rotation dynamometer instrumentation differed only in that there were two torquemeters. They were similar in design and operation to the torquemeter used for the single-rotation dynamometer, each having half the capacity and twice the sensitivity of the single-rotation torquemeter. The torque measured by each torquemeter was indicated by a manual balancing potentiometer. A description of the methods used in calibrating the thrust gages and torquemeters, and a discussion of typical calibration results are presented in reference 4.



TEST CONDITIONS AND REDUCTION OF DATA

Preliminary tests were made with the six-blade dual-rotation propeller to determine the rear blade angle setting (β_R) that would cause the rear component of the propeller to absorb the same power as the front component near the advance-diameter ratio for maximum efficiency. The optimum blade-angle difference $(\Delta\beta$ = optimum) determined from these tests is shown as a function of the front blade angle (β_F) in figure 5. Subsequently, the thrust, torque, and rotational speed were measured for the six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers for both the optimum blade-angle settings (fig. 5) and the design blade-angle setting $(\Delta\beta$ = 0.8°) for the various values of front blade angle and Mach number shown in table I. Also shown in table I are the conditions for the limited tests of the two-and four-blade, single-rotation propellers.

Propeller thrust as used herein is the algebraic difference between the longitudinal force produced by the propeller-spinner combination and the longitudinal force produced by the spinner alone at the same air velocity and density. The method used in determining the propeller thrust is discussed in detail in reference 4.


The total torque required for operation of the dual-rotation propeller was ascertained by adding the torque of the front and rear components. Torque was determined for each component by the method described for the single-rotation propeller in reference 4.

The Mach number used in this report is the average Mach number over the disc area determined by the velocity surveys reported in reference 4. The air-stream velocity (and, consequently, propeller advance-diameter ratio and efficiency) was corrected for wind-tunnel-wall constraint on the propeller slipstream by the method of reference 14. Experimental data have shown good agreement with the correction presented in figure 6 (see refs. 4 and 6). The data included herein are for advance-diameter ratios at which the thrust-coefficient parameter $(T_{\rm c}/1-M^2)$ was greater than -0.55.

Analysis of the accuracy of the separate measurements of thrust, torque, and air-stream velocity indicate that errors in the propeller efficiencies reported herein are probably less than 2 percent.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Most of the results of this investigation are presented graphically in figures 7 through 34. An index of these figures is presented in table II and gives the propeller configuration and the range of variables for each figure. Inasmuch as it did not appear advantageous to plot the





individual power coefficients for the front and rear propeller units at conditions of negative and near static thrust, these data have been tabulated in tables III to V.

Positive Thrust

Effects of Mach number. The effects of Mach number on the aerodynamic characteristics of the propellers are shown in figures 7 through 17. The over-all propeller characteristics are presented in figures 7 through 12, and the power coefficients for the front and rear components of the dual-rotation propellers are shown in figures 13 through 17.


The effects of Mach number on maximum efficiency are shown in figure 18. As previously shown in other investigations, propeller efficiency losses due to compressibility effects were delayed to higher Mach numbers by increasing blade angle. The highest Mach number at which the dual-rotation propellers with spinner A operated without marked compressibility losses was about 0.65 for a blade angle of 65°, at which condition the propeller efficiency was about 85 percent. Maximum efficiency of the six-blade propeller varied from 87 percent at a Mach number of 0.40 to 61 percent at a Mach number of 0.84.

As shown in figure 18(f), the maximum efficiency of the two-blade propeller compares favorably with the results from reference 4 for the four-blade propeller at a blade angle of 60° .

The variation of maximum efficiency with advance-diameter ratio for the dual-rotation propellers is shown in figure 19. Figure 20 presents the variation of maximum efficiency with tip Mach number. These data, in conjunction with figure 18, indicate that at Mach numbers above 0.80, higher efficiencies could probably be obtained by operation of the propellers at lower blade angles and lower advance-diameter ratios.

Comparison of the characteristics of the six- and eight-blade, dualrotation propellers. As shown in figure 21, the basic characteristics of
the six- and eight-blade propellers are in good agreement when compared
on the basis of equal total activity factor. At all except the highest
Mach numbers, the maximum efficiency of the eight-blade propeller was
about 2 percent below that of the six-blade propeller, as shown in
figures 18 and 19.

In the following table the full-scale characteristics of the six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers at the design Mach number (0.80) and altitude (35,000 feet), calculated from the data in figures 7 and 8, are compared with the design characteristics calculated by the method of reference 15 with an assumed loss in efficiency of 8 percent due to compressibility effects.






Charac-	Condi	tion i	or B	= 6	Condi	tion f	or B	= 8
teristics	Design	A	В	С	Design	Α.	В	C
HP T J PF CPT	5600 0.80 4.20 63 1.81 3160	0.64 4.20 67 1.81	5600 0.65 3.94 65 1.50 2570	0.65 3.87 65 1.58	5600 0.80 4.20 63 2.02 3160	0.62	4.10 65 1.88	0.65 3.80 65 2.17

Condition A shows that for operation at the design power and advancediameter ratio, blade angles higher than the design value would be required. By reducing the advance-diameter ratio and blade angles, the efficiency would be increased slightly as indicated under condition B for the design horsepower. To enable the propellers to operate with maximum efficiency at the design Mach number would require a further reduction in advancediameter ratio and an increase in power as shown under Condition C. At the design Mach number of 0.80, there was no operating condition where the efficiency approached the calculated value of 0.80. Subsequent calculations for the eight-blade propeller using the method of reference 16 and the airfoil section data from reference 17 indicated a still higher efficiency of 86 percent. The calculated efficiencies for the design condition are unrealistically high primarily because losses due to compressibility occurred at a considerably lower Mach number than the calculations indicated. Figure 20 shows that at the design tip Mach number of 1.0 and a blade angle of 650, the maximum efficiency had decreased more that 20 percent from its low-speed value whereas the anticipated decrease was about 8 percent.

In consideration of the effects of compressibility with regard to the spinner, it would be expected that with the shorter spinner (spinner B), the local velocities on the inner portion of the propeller blades would be considerably higher than the free-stream Mach number and, as a result, it would be anticipated that calculations based on free-stream conditions would overestimate the Mach number for the onset of compressibility effects. With the long spinner (spinner A), however, the local velocity at the blade shank is calculated to be only about 1 or 2 percent higher than the free-stream velocity, and it should be possible to neglect this velocity increment in the propeller performance analysis without introducing large losses. While the effect of the propeller inflow on the drag of the long spinner would be expected to be of appreciable magnitude, it would not be anticipated that this contribution would be particularly dependent on Mach number below a Mach number of 0.85 or 0.90.

In regard to the airstream velocity it may be stated that the velocity surveys (ref. 4) which were used to establish the free-stream Mach number





were carried out in such a manner that it is difficult to conceive them to be in error by a significant amount. However, there is no way to evaluate accurately the effect of the propeller slipstream on the flow field induced by the dynamometer structure. It is felt that this effect of slipstream was small, as indicated by the data in reference 4 which show no large effect of Mach number on the velocity induced by the dynamometer.

A point that should be made with regard to the calculated performance is that at the design condition, the sections of the propeller outboard of 0.70 radius were operating at Mach numbers between 0.90 and 1.0. The section data used in the performance calculations are of doubtful accuracy in this Mach number range, and recent section data presented in reference 18 have shown effects of camber contrary to the results given in reference 17.

In summary of this discussion of the propeller efficiency at the design condition, it can only be stated that losses due to compressibility effects began to rise at a lower Mach number than the calculated value. Aside from questioning the validity of the section data used in the performance calculations, no other reason for this discrepancy is known.

Comparison of the propeller characteristics for the optimum $\Delta\beta$ and a $\Delta\beta$ of 0.8°. As shown in figure 22 for the six-blade dual-rotation propeller, and as would be anticipated, the effect of increasing the blade angle of the rear component (changing from optimum $\Delta\beta$ to $\Delta\beta=0.8^{\circ})$ was to increase the power coefficient for the rear component at a given advance-diameter ratio, with a corresponding increase in thrust coefficient. Moreover, it is shown that there was no significant difference in the power absorbed by the front component with change in the rear blade angle. Figure 22 also shows, for $\beta_F=65^{\circ}$ and Mach numbers from 0.60 to 0.80, that the front and rear components absorbed equal power at an advance-diameter ratio near that for maximum efficiency with the optimum $\Delta\beta$ setting. However, the rear blade angle used for the optimum setting with $\beta_F=70^{\circ}$ appears to have been somewhat low for Mach numbers above 0.70 (see figs. 7, 13, and 15).

Comparisons of the data in figures 18 and 19 show that for both the six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers, the maximum efficiency obtained with $\Delta\beta=0.8^{\circ}$ was generally lower, by about 2 percent, than that with the optimum $\Delta\beta$ setting.

Effects of spinner length and of sealing the gaps at the platform propeller-spinner junctures. The results of limited tests of the sixblade, dual-rotation propeller using spinner B are presented in figure 10 and summarized in figure 18(e). In general, the efficiency of the propeller with this spinner was about 2 percent lower (figs. 18(e) and 20(c)) than with spinner A. It is believed that this is due to the higher velocities over the inboard propeller-blade sections with the shorter spinner, similar to the results reported in reference 4.





As shown in figure 23, sealing the gaps between the propeller blades and the platform junctures had no significant effect on the propeller characteristics.

Negative Thrust

The effects of Mach number on the characteristics of the propellers when producing negative thrust are shown in figures 24 through 29 and in tables III and IV. Negative-thrust characteristics are shown, for Mach numbers above 0.15, on plots with tangent coordinates which enable coverage of the full range of test results.

Similar to results reported in reference 6, it can be shown from the data presented in figure 30 that there was practically no effect of compressibility on the negative-thrust and torque characteristics of the dual-rotation propeller for Mach numbers up to 0.60.

The basic negative-thrust characteristics of the six- and eight-blade propellers are in good agreement when compared on the basis of equal total activity factor. This is shown for Mach numbers of 0.15, 0.60, and 0.80 in figure 30. Also, comparison of the data presented in figure 31, again on the basis of equal activity factor, indicates good agreement between the negative-thrust characteristics of the two- and four-blade, single-rotation propellers. It can be shown that the negative-thrust data for the single-rotation propellers, after correction for activity factor, compare favor-ably with those for the dual-rotation propellers at a Mach number of 0.15 (data in fig. 31 compared with those in figs. 30(a) and (b)), but do not compare well for the higher Mach numbers (comparing corresponding data of figs. 28 and 24, for example).

Near Static Thrust

The characteristics of the six- and eight-blade propellers at low forward speeds are presented in figure 32 and table V. The velocity of the air in the test section for these tests was produced by the model propeller itself and thus varied with propeller rotational speed and blade angle as shown in figure 32.

The abrupt decrease in thrust coefficient and increase in power coefficient at values of nD below 60 (fig. 32) are similar to results reported in reference 6 for a three-blade propeller and are believed to be due to the effects of Reynolds number on the blade section characteristics.

The data in figure 32 were used to determine envelope curves for the variation of thrust per horsepower with power disc loading for the six- and





eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers. These are shown in figure 33, together with similar experimental results for the four-blade propeller (computed from fig. 8 of ref. 4) and the theoretical ideal curve for the static condition computed by the method of reference 19. For all power disc loadings, thrust per horsepower increased with increasing number of propeller blades. For the design power disc loading, approximately 21 horsepower per square foot, the dual propellers produced about 3 pounds of thrust per horsepower at near static conditions. The experimental thrusts per horsepower for the six- and eight-blade propellers were approximately 72 and 74 percent, respectively, of the theoretical ideal values. That the thrust-per-horsepower values for the dual-rotation propellers are higher than those reported for single-rotation propellers in references 4, 5, and 6 is probably due to lower rotational losses for the dualrotation propellers. The extrapolation explained in the following paragraph indicates that the experimental thrust per horsepower would be about 20 percent higher if the data were for a true static condition.


The variations of thrust per horsepower with power coefficient for the six-blade dual-rotation propeller at near static conditions and at zero velocity (obtained by extrapolation) are compared in figure 34 with correlated static data (from ref. 11) for five other six-blade dualrotation propellers having NACA 16-series sections. The values shown for the near static condition were calculated using the data in figure 32(a). and the values given for the zero-velocity condition were obtained by a straight-line extrapolation of the thrust and power coefficients as a function of test-section velocity using the data from figures 7(a) and 32(a). The power coefficient used in this figure was corrected for activity factor, integrated lift coefficient, and thickness ratio in accordance with the method of correlation of reference 11. These results show that the effect of small forward velocities on the thrust per horsepower was substantial, if the extrapolation procedure is valid. The agreement between the extrapolated results for zero velocity and the results presented in reference 11 is only fair.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The following remarks may be made regarding the results of the subject investigation:

The highest Mach number at which the six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers operated without marked compressibility losses was about 0.65 for a blade angle of 65°, at which condition the efficiency of both propellers was 85 percent. The effects of compressibility on maximum efficiency were similar to those reported in previous investigations, indicating that for Mach numbers above some value (for these propellers, about 0.80) higher efficiencies would be obtained by operation of the propellers at lower advance-diameter ratios and lower blade angles.





Increasing total solidity by increasing the number of blades from six to eight resulted in a nearly proportional increase in power absorption with an accompanying decrease in maximum efficiency of about 2 percent.

Lower efficiencies, by about 2 percent, were obtained for the propeller in combination with an NACA 1-series spinner as compared with those obtained for the propeller with the longer spinner. This result is attributable to the effects of the nonuniform flow field of the 1-series spinner and is similar to results reported for the NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 four-blade, single-rotation propeller with 1-series and extended cylindrical spinners.

There were no significant effects of compressibility on the negative-thrust characteristics of the dual-rotation propellers up to a Mach number of 0.60. When compared on the basis of equal total activity factor, the negative-thrust characteristics of the six- and eight-blade propellers were in good agreement.

The thrust per horsepower of the six- and eight-blade propellers at near static conditions varied with power disc loading as predicted by actuator-disc theory for the static condition, but the measured values were lower by about 28 and 26 percent, respectively. For the design power disc loading, approximately 21 horsepower per square foot, the dual propellers produced about three pounds of thrust per horsepower at near static conditions.

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics
Moffett Field, Calif., July 13, 1954

REFERENCES

- 1. Carmel, Melvin M., Morgan, Francis G., Jr., and Coppolino, Domenic A.:

 Effect of Compressibility and Camber as Determined from an Investigation of the NACA 4-(3)(08)-03 and 4-(5)(08)-03 Two-Blade Propellers up to Forward Mach Numbers of 0.925. NACA RM L50D28, 1950.
- 2. Delano, James B., and Harrison, Daniel E.: Investigation of the NACA 4-(4)(06)-04 Two-Blade Propeller at Forward Mach Numbers to 0.925. NACA RM L9I07, 1949.
- 3. Delano, James B., and Carmel, Melvin M.: Investigation of the NACA 4-(0)(03)-045 and NACA 4-(0)(08)-045 Two-Blade Propellers at Forward Mach Numbers to 0.925. NACA RM L9L06a, 1950.



- 4. Reynolds, Robert M., Buell, Donald A., and Walker, John H.: Investigation of an NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 Four-Blade Propeller with Several Spinners at Mach Numbers up to 0.90. NACA RM A52I19a, 1952.
- 5. Demele, Fred A., and Otey, William R.: Investigation of the NACA 1.167-(0)(03)-058 and NACA 1.167-(0)(05)-058 Three-Blade Propellers at Forward Mach Numbers to 0.92 Including Effects of Thrust-Axis Inclination. NACA RM A53F16, 1953.
- 6. Kenyon, George C., and Reynolds, Robert M.: Investigation of a Three-Blade Propeller in Combination With Two Different Spinners and an NACA D-Type Cowl at Mach Numbers up to 0.80. NACA RM A54Bl8a, 1954.
- 7. Evans, Albert John, and Liner, George: A Wind-Tunnel Investigation of the Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Full-Scale Supersonic-Type.

 Three-Blade Propeller at Mach Numbers to 0.96. NACA RM L53F01, 1953.
- 8. Platt, Robert J., Jr., and Shumaker, Robert A.: Investigation of the NACA 3-(3)(05)-05 Eight-Blade Dual-Rotating Propeller at Forward Mach Numbers to 0.925. NACA RM L50D21. 1950.
- 9. Gray, W. H., and Gilman, Jean, Jr.: Characteristics of Several Singleand Dual-Rotating Propellers in Negative Thrust. NACA WR L-634, 1945. (Supersedes NACA MR L5CO7)
- 10. Swihart, John M.: Experimental and Calculated Static Characteristics of a Two-Blade NACA 10-(3)(062)-045 Propeller. NACA RM L54A19, 1954.
- 11. Webb, Dana A., and Willer, Jack E.: Propeller Performance at Zero Forward Speed. WADC TR 52-152, Wright Air Development Center, July 1952.
- 12. Keith, Arvid L., Jr., Bingham, Gene J., and Rubin, Arnold J.: Effects of Propeller-Shank Geometry and Propeller-Spinner Juncture Configuration on Characteristics of an NACA 1-Series Cowling-Spinner Combination With an Eight-Blade Dual-Rotation Propeller. NACA RM L51F26, 1951.
- 13. Sammonds, Robert I., and Molk, Ashley J.: Effects of Propeller-Spinner Juncture on the Pressure-Recovery Characteristics of an NACA 1-Series D-Type Cowl in Combination with a Four-Blade Single-Rotation Propeller at Mach Numbers Up to 0.83 and at an Angle of Attack of 0°. NACA RM A52DOla, 1952.
- 14. Young, A. D.: Note on the Application of the Linear Perturbation
 Theory To Determine the Effect of Compressibility on the Wind Tunnel
 Constraint on a Propeller. R.A.E. TN No. Aero. 1539, British A.R.C.,
 1944.





- 15. Crigler, John L., and Talkin, Herbert W.: Charts for Determining Propeller Efficiency. NACA WR L-144, 1944. (Formerly NACA ACR L4129)
- 16. Gilman, Jean, Jr.: Application of Theodorsen's Propeller Theory to the Calculation of the Performance of Dual-Rotating Propellers.

 NACA RM L51A17, 1951.
- 17. Enos, L. H., and Borst, H. V.: Propeller Performance Analysis.
 Aerodynamic Characteristics, NACA 16 Series Airfoils. Pt. II.
 Rep. No. C-2000, Curtiss-Wright Corp., Propeller Div. (Caldwell, N. J.), 1948.
- 18. Stivers, Louis S., Jr.: Effects of Subsonic Mach Number on the Forces and Pressure Distributions on Four NACA 64A-Series Airfoil Sections at Angles of Attack as High as 28°. NACA TN 3162, 1954.
- 19. Gilman, Jean, Jr.: Analytical Study of Static and Low-Speed Performance of Thin Propellers Using Two-Speed Gear Ratios to Obtain Optimum Rotational Speeds. NACA RM L52109, 1952.

TABLE I .- BLADE ANGLES AND MACH NUMBERS FOR TESTS OF VARIOUS PROPELLER CONFIGURATIONS

number	70	65	60	5 5	50	40	30	25	20,15	10	5	0	-5,-10,-15	-20
≈ 0				1		~_		a	ab	a				
.15								afg	abfg	afg	afg	abfg	a	ab
.20						ab	a							
.40		ъ	ab	аb	abf	ab	a.		,					
.50		:	f		f		:							
.60		¹ abcde	abf	ab	abf									
.70	ace	¹ abcde	abf	аb	abf									
•75	ace	abcde	ab	ab	ab	~-								
.80	ace	¹ abcde	abf	Ъ										
-84	ace	abcde	ab			~-]		
.90	е	cde				~								- -

Config- uration	F	ropeller	Spinner	Δβ, deg	Thrust
a	6-blade	dual-rotation	A	optimum	positive and negative
ď	8-blade	dual-rotation	Α ΄	optimum	positive and negative
c	6-blade	dual-rotation	A	0.8	positive and negative
đ	6-blade	dual-rotation	В	0.8	positive thrust only
e	8-blade	dual-rotation	A	0.8	positive and negative
f	2-blade	single-rotation	C		positive and negative
g		single-rotation	C		negative thrust only

¹Repeat runs with the platform gaps sealed, configuration a only.



TABLE II.- INDEX OF DATA FIGURES

Figure number	Plot	Propeller	Δβ, đeg	Number of blades, B	Mach number,	Front blade angle, \$F, deg	Spinner	Reynolds number per ft, Rn×10-6
			Pos	itive th	rust	•	 -	
7 8 9 10 11 12	C _T ,C _P ,η,M _t vs. J	Dual Dual Dual Dual Dual Single	Optimum Optimum 0.8 0.8 0.8	6 8 6 6 8 2	0.15 to 0.84 0.15 to 0.84 0.60 to 0.90 0.60 to 0.90 0.60 to 0.90 0.50 to 0.80	15 to 70 15 to 65 65,70 65 65,70 60	A A B A C	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
13 14 15 16 17	CP _F , C _{P_R} vs. J	Dual Dual Dual Dual	Optimum Optimum 0.8 0.8 0.8	6 8 6 6 8	0.15 to 0.84 0.15 to 0.84 0.60 to 0.90 0.60 to 0.90 0.60 to 0.90	15 to 70 15 to 65 65,70 65 65,70	A A B A	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
18 18 18 18	η _{max} vs. M	Dual Dual Dual Single	Optimum 0.8 0.8 	6,8 6,8 6	0.15 to 0.84 0.60 to 0.90 0.60 to 0.90 0.40 to 0.70	15 to 70 65,70 65 50,60	A A B C	1.0 1.0 1.0
19 19	η _{max} vs. J ↓	Dual Dual	Optimum 0.8	6,8 6,8	0.15 to 0.84 0.60 to 0.90	15 to 70 65,70	A A	1.0 1.0
20 20 20	η _{max} vs. M _t	Dual Dual Dual	Optimm 0.8 0.8	6,8 6,8 6		15 to 70 65,70 65	A A B	1.0 1.0 1.0
ය3 p55 _g 51	C _T ,C _P ,η vs. J	Dual Dual Dual	Optimum 0.8 Optimum	6,8 6 6	0.40 to 0.80 0.60 to 0.80 0.60 to 0.80	65 65,70 65	A A A	1.0 1.0 1.0
			Neg	ative th	rust			
24 25 26 27 28 28 28 29	OT, CP vs. J	Dual Dual Dual Single Single Single	Optimum Optimum 0.8 0.8	6868224	0.15 to 0.84 0.15 to 0.84 0.60 to 0.90 0.60 to 0.90 0.15 0.40 to 0.80 0.15	-20 to 70 -20 to 65 65,70 65,70 0 to 25 50,60 0 to 25	A A A C C C	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.5
d ₃₀ 31 31	C _T ,C _P vs. β _F	Dual Single Single	Optimum 	6,8 2 4	0.15 to 0.84 0.15 0.15	-20 to 70 0 to 25 0 to 25	A C C	1.0 1.0 1.0
			Ne	ar stati	c thrust			
32 32	C _T ,C _P ,V vs. nD	Dual Dual	Optimum Optimum	6 8	≈ o ≈o	10 to 25 15,20	A A	
33 34	T/HP vs. HP/S T/HP vs. Cp'	Dual Dual	Optimum Optimum	6,8 6,8	≈ 0 ≈ 0		A A	



^aComparison of the 6- and 8-blade data for $\Delta\beta$ = optimum. ^bComparison of the 6-blade data for $\Delta\beta$ = optimum and $\Delta\beta$ = 0.8°. ^cEffect of sealing the juncture gap. ^dData for B = 8 shown for M = 0.15, 0.60, and 0.80.



TABLE III.- NEGATIVE-THRUST CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIX- AND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS, $\Delta\beta$ = OPTIMUM (a) B = 6

1.48	0.88 -0.106 .94 -148 1.00 -184 1.09243 1.30382 1.40445 1.60764 1.90764		н	П	c _{PR}	C _P	c _T	J	βγ, deg	ж	C _{PR}	c _P	c _T .	J	βŗ, deg	ж
2.92 -1.935	2.58931 2.59 -1.11k 2.59 -1.2k 2.59 -1.2k 2.59 -1.2k 2.59 -1.2k 2.59 -1.2k 2.50 -2.31 2.51 -2.33k 2.52 -1.5k 2.53 -3.5k 2.52 -3.5k 2.52 -3.5k 2.52 -3.5k 2.52 -3.5k 2.52 -3.5k 2.53 -2.37 -6.52 2.53 -6.55 2.57 -6.56 2.57 -6.57 2.57 -6.	20	0.15		0.27 313 346 599 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01	0.191 .24 .34 .55 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01 .01	-2.865 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021 -3.308 -3.4021	3.457466.96	-5 0	-	0.27 231.337 .403.447 .50 .50 .574 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60	25.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$3.99734 x4.9959556888 2825889892168 \$2599389858888888888889988888888888888888	1.43811.0799899162343322242333344250978807444350180987 33435166866524332251030997 316	-15 -10	






TABLE III.- NEGATIVE-THRUST CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIX-AND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS, $\Delta \beta$ = OPTIMUM - Continued (a) B = 6 - Continued

и	βy, deg	J	O _T	C _P	c _{PR}	ж	βy,	3	O _T	CPF	C _{PR}	и	βγ, deg	J	C _₹	c _{PF}	c _{PR}
o.ko	30	1.65 1.74 1.85 2.01 2.24 2.34 2.34 2.54 2.83 3.02 2.83 3.02 2.83 3.02 2.83 3.02 2.83 3.02 2.83 3.85 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80 7.80	-0.241 -0.294 -348 -405 -405 -206 -668 -769 -668 -961 -1.169 -1.1	-0.11 -14 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27 -27	0.13 -0.16 -0.14 -0.14 -0.04 -	٥.60	55	3.40 3.60 3.89 4.77 5.85 6.84 6.77 10.68 119.59 124.71 29 . 24 3.34 3.35 3.67 3.87	- 453 - 530 - 648 - 774 - 930 - 1.167 - 1.186 - 2.227 - 3.227 - 3.	- 16 - 26 - 67 - 16 - 17 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16 - 16		0.70	50 55	6.65 7.51 8.23 9.86 10.82 19.86 3.29 3.39 3.39 3.50 3.29 3.39 3.50 3.29 3.39 3.50 3.29	-2.3/2 -3.063 -3.063 -3.783 -1.700 -5.573 -6.511 -13.057 -36.244 -124 -124 -124 -125 -124 -126 -126 -126 -126 -127 -126 -126 -127 -126 -126 -126 -126 -126 -126 -126 -126	2.17 2.13 3.14 3.14 3.16 0.07 2.13 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1.16 1	-2.36 -3.08 -3.08 -3.76 -5.04 -13.49 -22.99 -35.11 -20 -29 -35.11 -20 -29 -35.11 -76 -66 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76
	ko	122444631431122234	-044 -112 -179 -248 -369 -468 -571 -571 -1297 -1.633 -2.249	.01 04 10 16 22 27 34 46 55 91 159 24	03 09 15 21 25 29 36 74 95 -1.18 -1.18 -2.24			3.95 1.16 1.35 1.74 1.96 5.56 6.77 7.32 7.32 8.90 9.91 10.86 11.83	- 159 - 543 - 661 - 752 - 681 - 752 - 107 - 1369 - 1737 - 2.182 - 3.266 - 3.266 - 153 - 2.182 - 3.266	-546 -673 -682 -1.82 -1.87 -2.70 -5.63 -7.73			60	\$.90 5.29 5.69 6.11 6.48 7.09 7.68 8.26 9.59 9.87 10.478 19.23 24.78	894 -1.018 -1.209 -1.k36 -1.698 -2.033 -2.477 -2.904 -3.984 -3.984 -4.299 -5.296 -10.380 -17.713 -29.361	92 -1.99 -1.53 -1.77 -2.66 -3.17 -4.81 -5.99 -12.85 -34.96	-1.10 -1.30 -1.53 -1.82 -2.06 -2.51 -3.57 -3.57 -5.29 -5.51 -21.75 -21.75 -34.67
	5 0	1498 889898489898989898989898989898989898	1484.8 834.858.344.45.45.45.45.45.45.45.45.45.45.45.45.4	Shrudared pure of the shrudare	3.00 3.77 6 15 2 6 7 7 1 8 5 3 6 8 7 5 7 6 6 7 1 2 6 7		60	\$655455454454888666666666666666666666666	-085 -082 -135 -245 -470 -564 -793 -1.008 -1.247 -1.493 -1.633 -2.374 -3.005 -3.705 -7.430 -7.430 -7.430 -7.430	19.5513820335835655588888888888888888888888888888	98 78 91 - 1.03 - 1.06 - 2.44 - 7.16 - 2.44 - 7.16 - 7.1		3	3.95 3.95 3.35 3.35 3.35 3.35 3.35 3.35	-070 -132 -190 -256 -368 -268 -268 -268 -1052 -1.389 -1.389 -1.389 -2.530 -3.121 -3.972 -5.773 -7.773 -26.880 -23.098	.12 .03 12 47 18 -1.11 -1.15 -2.45 -3.88 -1.15 -7.46 -10.49 -19.28	1682 -5768188 -5768188 -1166175 -126675 -126675
	5 5	33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 33.77.86 34.	-003 -116 -296 -498 -498 -184 -1617 -2210 -3-122 -1-212	\$	0.005 0.005		65	4.99 5.90 5.90 5.90 5.90 6.93 6.93 6.93 7.93	-011 -012 -118 -277 -362 -363 -363 -371 -677 -720 -891	.37 .30 .15 01 22 43 65 84 -1.02 -1.20 -1.35 -1.47	06 17 46 73 -1.00 -1.20 -1.38 -1.53 -1.68 -1.68 -1.68		65	29-77 4.90 5.20 5.40 5.81 6.22 6.41 6.63	040 091 211 317 317 317 513 600 692 765 840	-46.37 -32 -25 -07 -12 -32 -37 -80 -96 -1.14 -1.26	-1.53 -1.60 -1.73
	60	12.99 16.61 1.15 1.38 1.71 5.06 5.72 6.44 7.29 9.47 10.54	-7.272 -12.195 056 170 328 496 806 -1.119 -1.504 -2.683 -2.688 -3.211		9.6. 1.4.7.8.5.1.8.0.1.8.	0-70	50	8.8 4.3845.29 6.88 8.8	- 466 - 384 - 505 - 505	-17 -26 -36 -48 -51 -59 -68	38 39 44 50 53 58 66 67 76	0.75	70	7.09 7.66 8.28 9.09 9.82 13.76 18.81 23.71 29.34	351 604 851 -1.174 -1.433 -3.225 -6.535 -10.721 -16.986	-57 -1.16 -1.80 -2.57 -2.33 -21.19 -33.84	-1.05 -1.69 -2.28 -3.06 -3.62 -8.05 -15.89 -25.51 -39.28
0.60	50	2.70 2.79 2.90 3.00 3.10 3.20	-0.076 116 189 277 318 372	-3.69 09 26 35	-0.06 15 24 32 37 41			4.03 4.14 4.33 4.53 4.73 4.90 5.51 6.13	-745 -786 -897 -993 -1.080 -1.168 -1.520 -1.952	70 73 80 88 96 -1.03 -1.38 -1.79	76 81 92 -1.02 -1.12 -1.20 -1.53 -1.97			3.19 3.29 3.40 3.51 3.62 3.71 3.80 4.02	- 482 - 543 - 582 - 614 - 637 - 662 - 699 - 808	49 59 60 63 63 67	-51 -55 -66 -65 -67 -69 -73 -83





TABLE III.- NEGATIVE-THRUST CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIX-AND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS, $\Delta \beta$ = OPTIMUM - Continued (a) B = 6 - Concluded

×	βy, deg	J	o _T	CP _F	o _{PR}	×	β _F , deg	3	O _T	C _F	c_{P_R}	ж	βγ, deg	J	o _T	CPy.	c _{PR}
0.75	50	4.40 4.60 4.79 5.08 5.52 5.88	-0.883 977 -1.079 -1.169 -1.332 -1.604 -1.840	-0.81 97 97 -1.04 -1.19 -1.43 -1.67	-0.91 -1.01 -1.12 -1.22 -1.37 -1.64 -1.88	0.75	65	5.80 6.02 6.21 6.42 6.61 6.84	532 640 718 787 872 939	61 89 -1.07 -1.21 -1.35 -1.46	-1.28 -1.41 -1.53 -1.66 -1.80 -1.89	0.80	65	5.17 5.37 5.58 5.81 5.98 6.21	323 438 588 748 853 836	05 22 14 71 93 -1.33	90 -1.27 -1.70 -2.10 -2.30 -1.74
		6.47 7.09 7.69 8.28 8.89 9.68 10.70 11.76 14.60 20.29 24.32	-2.288 -2.829 -3.361 -3.981 -4.638 -5.511 -6.810 -8.283 -12.985 -24.844 -35.358	-2.11 -2.63 -3.14 -3.74 -5.28 -6.52 -8.03 -12.57 -25.34 -36.65	-2.31 -2.87 -3.44 -4.02 -4.72 -7.63 -7.00 -8.58 -13.44 -27.39 -34.54	0.80	70 55	6.68 7.07 7.87 8.70 9.45 11.81 16.84 21.92 25.80 29.80 3.33	189 368 703 -1.034 -1.319 -2.287 -5.210 -9.382 -13.291 -18.115	. 46 .09 81 -1.60 -2.13 -4.04 -9.76 -18.17 -26.64 -36.20	76 -1.11 -1.92 -2.74 -3.36 -5.72 -12.70 -21.88 -30.73 -40.88		OF	6.37 6.75 7.15 7.56 8.12 8.93 9.72 17.63 22.75 26.97 31.45	129 326 497 676 -1.197 -1.523 -2.173 -6.136 -10.351 -21.500	.48 .23 -13 -1.87 -1.87 -2.47 -1.54 -20.48 -30.32 -42.18	29 93 -1.45 -2.30 -3.05 -3.62 -6.63 -14.56 -34.21 -46.59
	29	3.14 3.32 3.63 3.63 3.63 3.63 3.64 4.34 4.34 4.35 7.31 9.93 10.97 13.06 21.66	- 090 - 134 - 213 - 260 - 397 - 594 - 660 - 675 - 681 - 775 - 786 - 1.097 - 1.096 - 1.692 - 1.306 - 1.692 - 1.097 - 2.732 - 3.784 - 6.320 - 3.784 - 3.	.03 .064 .125 .346 .581 .581 .581 .585 .964 .1.135 .1.146 .2.949 .1.155 .9.443	-164 -38 -38 -65 -66 -73 -114 -116 -22 -33 -34 -56 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76 -76 -7		89	3.561 7.561	-365 -369 -521 -522 -642 -742 -742 -742 -1.059 -1.189 -1.251 -2.172 -7.1	**************************************	-63 -70 -85 -85 -93 -93 -1.20 -1.20 -1.21 -1.74 -2.36 -2.83 -3.47 -2.33 -3.47 -2.33 -3.47 -2.31 -3.31	0.84	60	7:61686667388888951988687858833889753	853833584 		05 31 88 89 99 -1.11 -1.22 -1.12 -1.39 -1.12 -1.39 -1.12 -1.39 -1.12 -1.39 -1.12 -1.39 -1.12 -1.39 -1.12 -1.39 -1.12 -1.39 -1.12 -1.39
	60	3.84 3.94 4.15 4.45 5.16 5.59 15.99 16.99 19.90 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 10.00 10.00 10.00	- 08e - 173 - 23-3 - 30-3 - 30-3 - 30-3 - 30-3 - 70-3 - 712	.18 .00 .00 .16 .16 .25 .103 -1.18 -1.19 -2.19 -3.11 -1.15 -	- 08 - 23 - 36 - 36 - 37 - 38 - 39 - 1.93 - 1.94 - 1.93 -			3.89 3.99 4.10 4.31 4.53 4.74 5.33 5.70 6.71 7.32 8.89 9.0.81 12.32 10.81 12.32	-104 -170 -1273 -329 -329 -543 -612 -718 -716 -718 -1180 -1.180 -1.180 -3.583 -4.317 -5.786 -7.186 -7.186	.50 -134 -158 -158 -158 -158 -158 -158 -158 -158	-23 -38 -75 -109 -1.04 -1.27 -1.34 -1.49 -1.49 -1.47 -2.43 -3.25 -5.35 -6.45 -6.45 -11.09		70	1.50 1.71 1.91 5.133 5.356 6.59 6.98 7.38 5.78 6.719 7.517 8.75	- 045 - 118 - 174 - 270 - 309 - 707 - 816 - 1.209 - 1.	14.34 16.087 1-14.95 1	09 28 58 18 -1.14 -1.1
	65	4.89 4.98 5.18 5.40 5.59	047 093 212 353 443	.31 .24 .06 15 35	14 27 58 96 -1.15		65	4.66 4.75 4.87 4.96	-18.956 038 073 135 193	-26.46 .35 .30 .21 .13	-27.51 09 28 36 54			9.73 10.19 11.18 12.66 14.51 17.33 22.69	-1.710 -1.934 -2.393 -3.160 -4.341 -6.391 -11.502	-2.82 -3.30 -4.15 -5.60 -7.82 -11.83 -21.75	-1.23 -1.69 -5.63 -7.34 -10.06 -14.61 -25.68





TABLE III. - NEGATIVE-THRUST CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIX-AND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS, $\Delta\beta$ = OPTIMUM - Continued (b) B = 8


н	βγ, deg	7	°∓	CF.	c _{Pg}	м	βμ, deg	J	o <u>r</u>	CPF	c _{PR}	¥	βy, deg	3	C _T	CPp	C _{PR}
0.15	-80	1.78 1.93 1.96 2.32 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30	-1.168 -1.301 -1.392 -1.462 -1.670 -1.869 -2.131 -2.761 -2.763 -2.763 -2.763 -2.773 -3.337	0. 14 .47 .50 .57 .64 .71 .88 .93 1.00	0.38 .41 .47 .53 .61 .70 .78 .84 .90 .92	0.20	lo lo	5.22 6.08 6.90 7.86 1.98 2.10 2.59 2.91 2.91 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.91 3	4.699 	-1.72 -2.53 -3.36 -0.61 -39 -61 -39	म् स्टेन्स् इंडिक्ट्रेस्ट्रेड्ड्रिक्ट्रेड्ड्रिक्ट्रेड्ड्	0.60	50 55	7.85.95 8.95 9.95 14.98 14.98 12.95 14.98 12.95 14.98	3.843 -5.847 -5.847 -5.919 -5.891 -5.891 -67.893 -67.893	-3.71 -3.19 -3.71 -7.11 -8.74 -10.01 -49.78 -70.67	-1.02 -5.73 -6.09 -7.62 -9.27 -18.27 -92.61 -70.15 -68.24
	a	3.06 4.35 4.35 4.35 4.62 5.00 6.97 73 78 86	-3.337 -3.795 -4.079 -4.577 -5.692 -7.753 -9.792	1.13 1.26 1.40 1.54 1.68 1.93 2.65 3.51	1.00 1.11 1.26 1.42 1.64 2.15 2.37		50	\$.13 \$.53 \$.72 5.01 6.07 7.06 8.07 9.08	-1.429 -1.675 -1.972 -2.247 -2.248 -5.248 -6.868 -8.800 -10.855	-1.50 -1.50	1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25			**************************************	234 239 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230	08 19 34 79 95 -1.06 -1.19 -1.31 -2.64	- 41 - 57 - 67 84 99 -1.14 -1.27 -1.43 -1.64 -2.11 -3.16
		.91 1.00 1.03 1.06 1.13 1.18 1.26 1.35	-237 -273 -306 -346 -346 -357 -476 -500 -607 -636 -633 -864	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	्र इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड इंड		~	7.85 % 6.15 % 6.55 % 6.26 % 6.20 % 6.26 % 6.26 % 6.26 % 6.26 % 6.26 % 6.26 % 6.26 % 6.26 % 6.20 % 6.	113 180 266 360 477 532 615 607 817 928	.06 -14 -25 -37 -37 -37 -36 -38 -38 -38 -38	्रेड्ड इस्ट्रेड सम्बद्धाः इस्ट्रेड सम्बद्धाः		60	7.40 8.19 9.03 10.05 11.09 12.55 28.55	4.901 -7.661 -7.848 -7.331 -14.039 -24.812 -39.586 -71.650	-3.25 -5.26 -6.69 -8.25 -16.36 -29.17 -47.72 -63.30	3.76 4.76 -7.65 -7.95 -7.95 -7.80 -7.80 -7.80 -7.80 -7.80
		1.52 1.68 1.86 2.06 2.27 2.45 2.93 3.44 4.88 6.13	-1.015 1.172 1.351 1.601 1.603 2.308 2.956 3.764 5.061 7.610	02 03 05 05 06 07 10 13	01 01 02 03 03 02 02 05 06		55	5.63 5.15 5.93 6.93 7.90 10.50 12.42 3.89 3.61	-1.348 -1.679 -2.297 -3.299 -3.360 -7.827 -11.114 012 085	-1.19 -1.50 -2.07 -3.06 -1.07 -7.29 -10.15	म् प्रतिस्थित । । प्रतिस्थित । । ।			4.47 4.67 4.66 5.60 5.60 7.41 9.67	- 280 - 125 - 563 - 771 -1.103 -1.162 -1.762 -2.656 -3.365 -3.365	-099555488855834 -188855834	62 92 -1.14 -1.92 -2.47 -2.97 -3.62 -1.37 -3.41 -6.05
	15	.73 .79 .84 .89 .97 1.00 1.11 1.20 1.31 1.42	023 066 104 138 176 216 303 377 466 548 704	.01 0 01 02 04 05 06 10 14 16	.01 0 01 02 03 04 06 10 110			3.80 4.41 5.03 5.29 6.41 7.22 8.02 9.02	401 575 860 -1.066 -1.241 -1.411 -2.091 -2.621 -3.480 -3.514 -5.758		44444444444444444444444444444444444444		65	11.00 15.00 24.39 24.55 15.50	-5.289 -10.409 -19.708 -30.515 -46.302 011 276 542 769	-6.94 -13.95 -26.38 -11.89 -67.90 .45 .05 -1.04 -1.53	-8.38 -16.17 -30.72 -46.80 -71.83 78 -1.44 -1.93 -2.39
	20	2.03 2.52 3.01 3.51 4.02 4.58 5.00 6.24	-1.0% -1.9% -2.007 -2.706 -3.150 -3.964 -1.680 -7.057	26 37 53 66 78 94 -1.09 -1.61	- 25 - 35 - 34 - 38 - 38 - 1.03 - 1.49		60	4.02 4.44 4.76 5.65 6.50 7.35 8.21 9.03	005 119 276 498 734 -1.122 -1.60e -2.178 -2.1750 -3.407	\$ 175 88 1 1 1 1 9 13 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	26.50 E 25.00			7.16 7.74 8.93 9.94 14.95 24.89 24.89	-1.039 -1.346 -1.638 -1.973 -2.310 -2.960 -3.716 -7.437 -14.015 -22.549 -32.321	-2.10 -2.59 -3.11 -3.67 -5.91 -5.91 -12.19 -23.45 -37.40 -55.36	-3.01 -3.62 -4.97 -6.27 -7.67 -14.95 -27.40 -43.27 -61.34
		1.07 1.18 1.27 1.38 1.60 1.79 2.36 2.36 2.37 3.41 3.92 4.34	-073 -131 -226 -366 -371 -718 -896 -1.277 -1.696 -2.177 -2.178	03 07 10 14 20 25 28 38 74 72 89 -1.04	5888777888888888		69	10.54 13.66 5.36 5.76 6.20 6.56 7.19 7.76 8.41 9.97	-1.641 -8.271 -190 -131 -711 -981 -1.369 -1.726 -2.139 -2.534 -3.184	-5.89 -1.95	7.89 -14.89 -1.88 -3.35 -3.55	0.70	50	2.33.14.58.59.455	- 340 - 424 - 486 - 568 - 618 - 617 - 779 - 864 - 1.033 - 1.127 - 1.278 - 1.405	20 30 50 53 60 76 86 99 -1.06	- 95 - 53 - 58 - 63 - 66 - 70 - 81 - 92 - 1.06 - 1.19
0.20	40	1.99 2.18 2.40 2.63 2.80 3.01 3.22	-3-319 -240 -6.837 -073 -199 -398 -604 -725 -883 -1.038 -1.196	-1.32 -1.87 -2.63 -38 -45 -63 -70 -88		0.60	50	2.76 2.85 2.95 3.07 3.26 3.46 3.66 3.87	100 169 273 369 721 649 744 870 -1.040	.00 -15 -27 -29 -63 -63 -11	-11 -24 -35 -60 -72 -82 -95 -15			\$55,967,555,855,959,824 9,125,959,824 115,855,959,824	-1.698 -2.778 -1.278 -2.787 -1.391 -1.391 -1.391 -3.891	-1.44 -1.89 -2.58 -3.01 -3.07 -7.26 -9.13 -13.27 -13.61	-1.35 -1.49 -1.74 -2.94 -3.37 -4.41 -7.84 -9.84 -9.84 -19.65 -19.65
		3.74 3.99 4.33 4.74	-1.440 -1.631 -1.903 -2.287	82 96 -1.15 -1.44	-1.18 -1.29 -1.45 -1.67			3.17 5.75 6.60	-112 -1.726 -2.186 -2.959	-1.27 -1.58 -2.05 -2.76	-1.55 -1.86 -2.28 -3.12			25.06 30.52	-50.894 -75.126	-70.90 -78.40	-32.72 -74.32





TABLE III.- NEGATIVE-THRUST CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIXAND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS, $\Delta\beta$ = OPTIMUM - Concluded (b) B = 8 - Concluded

н	βγ, deg	ā	OŢ	CPF	Cp₽R	м	βγ, deg	3	O _T	СÞF	CPR	ж	βy, deg	J	O _Z	o _{PF}	c _{PR}
0.70	55	3.15 3.26 3.47 3.578 3.77 3.98 4.28 4.70 6.61 5.30 6.61 11.03 11.324 12.05	-0.026 -1112 -1293 -285 -362 -295 -522 -723 -846 -1.057 -1.167 -1.1693 -2.374 -2.374 -2.374 -1.167 -1.1693 -1.167 -1.1693 -1.1693 -1.167 -1.1693 -1.167 -1.1693 -1.167 -1.1693 -1.167 -1.167 -1.1693 -1.167 -	0.17 -03 -114 -38 -166 -786 -1.25 -1.25 -1.25 -1.25 -1.25 -1.25 -1.25 -2.16 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	-0.02 -171 -186 -186 -186 -186 -186 -186 -1.51 -1.51 -1.51 -1.52 -1.53 -1.54 -1.55 -1.56 -	0.75	55	3.96 4.18 4.28 4.40 5.38 5.60 6.722 7.85 8.42 9.10 10.06 13.08 10.02 20.23 25.18 30.13	-0.74e799877914998 -1.102 -1.298 -1.1981 -2.450 -3.042 -3.625 -4.251 -1.311 -15.226 -1.311 -15.256 -1.311 -15.2663 -1.311 -15.2663 -1.311 -15.2663	-0.86 99 -1.106 -1.14 -1.23 -1.33 -1.66 -2.13 -2.13 -2.13 -2.13 -1.69 -1.29	9.885 -1.539 -1.539 -1.540 -1.	0.80	60	3.72 3.83 3.94 4.13 4.76 4.96 5.76 6.56 6.90 8.17 9.97 10.97 10.97 11.097 21.93	-0.080 -160 -251 -344 -429 -735 -699 -791 -990 -1.284 -1.634 -1.634 -1.639 -2.220 -1.284 -1.899 -2.220 -1.284 -1.180 -3.1	0.27 .18 .08 03 14 28 57 73 28 13 28 13 28 13 28 13 28 13 28 13 28 13 28 13 28 13 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	-0.1h -34 -58 -78 -99 -1.21 -1.72 -1.63 -1.63 -1.63 -1.63 -2.69 -3.54 -4.50 -7.13 -9.63 -16.36 -24.13
	60	25.03 3.02 3.02 3.02 3.02 3.02 3.02 3.02 3	-51.671 -63.636 -076 -176 -399 -789 -789 -789 -1132 -1.803 -1.180 -1.803 -1.180 -2.792 -1.196 -1.266 -19.010	-50.53 -74.66 .09 10 30 80 1.18 -1.19 -2.06 -2.23 -3.30 -3.13 -5.23 -7.14 -15.07 -25.86	-92.34 -72.19 -12.19 -31 -64 -1.20 -1.10 -1.		65	4.17 4.27 4.47 4.69 5.19 6.60 5.99 6.62 7.84 9.01 10.01 15.07 20.12 30.21	- 399 - 342 - 542 - 743 - 1480 - 1480	09 111	-6555339885157533875139988757533875138998875753387575887575887575875875	0.84	65	4.79317446.5553171758133294.29566.7784.5553171758133294.29566.7784.555	076 -213 -890 -1.021 -1.482 -1.792 -1.183 -2.782 -3.183 -2.782 -19.783 -34.363 -34.363 -37.363 -37.363	.39 .198 .74 -1.45	- 12 - 51 - 1-28 - 2-3 - 2-25 - 2-50 - 3-37 - 3-22 - 3-50 - 3-50 - 3-50 - 3-10 - 3-50 - 3
0.75	65	33.77 4.94 5.33 5.76 6.79 7.14 7.96 9.57 10.92 11.31 34.64 3.23	-59.486088365654874 -1.199 -1.371 -2.274 -2.089 -3.876 -7.060 -14.416 -46.004	-84.77 	86. 80 9553 95559 9559 9559 9559 9559 9559 95	0.80	77	5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.5	-234 -566 -865 -1.137 -1.139 -1.781 -2.744 -3.101 -1.107 -5.997 -1.5.263 -4.007 -2.663 -4.007 -3.48 -4.007 -1.348 -4.007	104 -1.04 -1.74 -2.72 -3.92 -4.71 -2.32 -4.71 -15.22 -24.86 -43.71 -25.86 -43.71 -25.86 -43.71 -25.86	- 57 -1.82 -2.37 -2.96 -3.61 -4.30 -5.03 -5.03 -7.92 -11.27 -27.70 -47.47 -71.99 -60 -73			4.1288971032713116963314447555666778.9447	- 1.31 - 529 - 619 - 710 - 796 - 7981 -1.123 -1.4578 -1.839 -2.111 -2.460 -2.730 -3.222 -3.816 -4.618 -5.78e -7.277	193185088608451181888888	87 -1.18 -1.18 -1.50 -1.80 -1.80 -2.60 -3.46 -
		3.35 4.73 5.66 6.25 6.87 7.68 6.47 9.60 10.64 13.19 15.59 25.68 29.48	-776 -1.562 -1.5637 -2.285 -2.903 -3.560 -4.556 -5.675 -7.291 -14.342 -21.593 -52.724 -72.371	1149970333577943576767	1.05.9 1.1.4.3.5 1.5.9.8 1.5.9			3.51 3.61 3.75 3.94 4.05 4.25 4.65 4.65 4.65 6.68 6.79	- 503 - 598 - 773 - 774 - 843 - 920 - 1.054 - 1.315 - 1.508 - 1.706 - 2.635 - 2.635 - 2.635 - 3.413	**************************************	05 96 -1.09 -1.22 -1.27 -1.37 -1.48 -1.62 -1.78 -2.39 -3.07 -3.57		65	13.04 14.75 17.71 22.83 4.46 5.28 5.28 5.28 7.39 6.59 7.95	-9.530 -12.831 -18.26 -31.303 -014 -154 -315 -658 -1.014 -1.300 -1.777 -1.849 -2.231 -2.626	****** \$ # 8 # 8 8 # # # 8 8 8 # # # 8 8 8 # # # 8 8 8 # # # 8 8 8 8 # # # 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	-15.63 -29.34 -29.36 -29.36 -35.80 -2.48 -2.48 -3.87 -3.87 -3.63 -3.87 -3.89
	55	3-15 3-24 3-34 3-44 3-56 3-66 3-77 3-87	114 179 274 365 473 529 612 681	.12 .05 .06 .17 .30 .58 .71	- 19 - 31 - 56 - 68 - 68 - 68 - 68 - 68			8.60 9.61 11.01 12.53 14.23 17.00 22.34	-1.073 -5.004 -6.114 -8.182 -10.805 -14.130 -20.471 -35.621	-5.65 -6.95 -9.45 -12.57 -16.62 -24.34 -43.25	-4.55 -5.42 -6.70 -8.14 -10.81 -14.27 -26.61 -46.35			8.97 9.72 10.61 11.75 13.48 15.27 18.38 24.38	2.979 -3.613 -4.376 -7.527 -7.467 -9.773 -14.490 -26.454	-5.58 -6.58 -8.38 -11.48 -15.15 -23.02 -42.87	-5.72 -6.95 -8.33 -10.53 -14.11 -18.47 -27.10 -49.29






TABLE IV.- NEGATIVE-THRUST CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIXAND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS, $\Delta \beta = 0.8^{\circ}$ (a) B = 6

	_	1				1											
×	Bp,	3	C-	C _{Pp}	CPR	×	200	3	C _T	CPp	c _{PR}	M	βp, deg	J	C _T	C _{Pp}	C _{PR}
0.60	65	5.37 5.78 6.18 6.59 7.40 7.62 9.00 10.04 10.93 14.95 19.94 24.87 30.06	133 568 755 689 -1.045 -1.192 -1.299 -1.662 -2.128 -2.812 -9.812 -15.623 -23.163	- 36 - 36 - 1.5 -	18648011688015 1186480165801650	0.75	·70	8.99 9.99 10.97 14.92 20.09 24.96 30.16 6.99 7.32 7.93 8.51 9.74 10.50	-1.799 -2.281 -2.4820 -5.754 -10.710 -17.275 -25.505 -187 -334 -603 -820 -1.031 -1.312 -1.759	-2.86 -3.74 -4.67 -9.69 -18.34 -29.44 -14.11 -33 -33 -1.97 -1.97 -2.60 -3.35	-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	0.84	65	5.09 5.49 5.59 6.74 7.13 7.75 8.31 9.74 10.61 12.15 13.75	057 -273 579 -1.478 -1.479 -1.499 -1.749 -2.518 -3.051 -3.051 -5.499 -7.267	-17 -15 -1.87 -1.87 -2.47 -2.47 -2.48 -2.48 -1.48 -7.06 -7.00 -7.00 -9.48	-034 -148 -148 -148 -148 -158 -158 -158 -158 -158 -158 -158 -15
0.70	65	5.16 5.56 5.96 6.36 6.75 7.96 8.96 10.95 14.95 19.88 28.00 28.00	083 325 827 837 928 -1.113 -1.713 -2.163 -2.743 -5.721 -10.209 -21.009 -24.023	.08 78 -1.09 -1.39 -1.59 -1.69 -2.78 -3.55 -4.79 -7.19 -17.07 -3.55 -17.07		0.80	65	12-55 13-89 20-83 21-86 5-5-84 5-5-84 7-63 8-24 9-78	-2.633 -4.825 -7.806 -11.522 -17.171 121 357 621 784 -1.023 -1.246 -1.514 -1.514	-5.27 -16.32 -36.82 -1.39 -1.74 -2.50 -3.12	4585 4585 4585 4585 4585 4585 4585 4585		70	19.09 24.00 6.37 6.79 7.16 7.97 8.82 9.74 11.83 14.70 17.90 23.86	-11.067 -17.817 -102 -263 -708 -925 -1.231 -2.155 -2.155 -2.97 -4.039 -6.005 -11.917	-19.08 -31.03 .28 -07 -1.41 -2.36 -1.10 -7.97 -11.89 -24.22	-19.05 -30.31 -34 -39 -1.83 -2.61 -3.71 -5.26 -7.26 -7.26 -7.39 -13.31
	70	7.13 7.66 5.30 9.13 9.90 10.93 14.87 19.88 24.74 29.56	-166 -473 -749 -1.027 -1.296 -1.669 -3.452 -6.788 -11.001 -15.922	.04 -61 -1.27 -1.87 -2.47 -3.19 -7.12 -14.09 -23.41 -33.92	1.48 1.48 1.48 1.48 1.48 1.48 1.48 1.48		70	10.73 12.27 13.93 16.64 21.10 6.83 7.22 7.64 8.24 8.27 9.62	-2.874 -3.876 -5.227 -7.652 -13.033 -144 -331 -544 -793 -996 -1.315	1.59 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	-3.36 -7.21 -9.59 -13.84 -33.43 -50 -1.86 -2.84	0.90	6	1.50 5.50 5.50 6.71 7.75 6.61 9.61	078 189 364 779 835 -1.100 -1.374 -1.630 -2.107 -2.547 -2.547 -2.547 -3.364	.04 -21 -63 -1.10 -1.55 -2.11 -2.62 -1.63 -1.66 -5.11	. 47 - 28 - 1.16 - 1.15 - 2.17 - 2.18 - 3.18 - 3.18
0.75	65	5.15 5.96 6.36 6.76 7.18 7.56 7.95	-106 339 569 730 870 -1,015 -1,156 -1,309	.02 36 -1.21 -1.41 -1.64 -1.90 -2.16	11 72 -1.24 -1.53 -1.76 -2.04 -2.29 -2.59			10.71 12.26 13.95 16.74 21.76 26.54 32.44	-1.725 -2.406 -3.314 -5.029 -9.092 -13.928 -21.155	-3.26 -6.50 -10.13 -18.68 -21.97	-3.34 -3.94 -1.97 -11.97 -21.31 -31.83 -47.25			10.37 11.15 11.78 13.06 15.11 17.28 20.81	-3.930 -4.928 -5.993 -6.403 -6.850 -12.118 -17.891	-5.81 -6.78 -7.62 -9.40 -13.65 -17.46	-8.43 -9.72 -9.12 -13.27 -19.80 -27.35 -41.75

(b) B = 8

ж	βy, deg	J	C _T	Cpp	CPR	ж	Ap, deg	J	O _F	CPF	C _{PB}	×	β _F , deg	J	C _T	C ⊋ y	CPR
0.60	65 65	5.19 5.69 5.35 6.19 7.60 8.80 9.20 10.93 5.34 7.36 7.36 7.36 9.86 7.36 9.86 7.36 9.86 7.36 9.86 10.93	07 -0.036 -3.559 -1.450	0.21 -273 -1.277 -1.16 -2.05 -3.567 -1.38 -2.567 -1.38 -1.567 -1.	0.066 - 1.685	0.75	70 65	5.00 25.07 % 15.37 6.1.37 6.00 25.07 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1.37 7.1	07 -1.119 -1.201 -1.151 -1.105 -2.170 -2.171 -306 -327 -396 -1.091 -1.4593	-1.89 -2.73 -3.15 -5.34 -7.60 -1.76	6 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0.75 0.80	70 65	J 12.64 1.90 1.37 1.6.12 1.90 1.90 1.90 1.90 1.90 1.90 1.90 1.90	07 -3.347 -093 -366 -366 -369 -1.999 -1.996 -2.214 -3.214 -3.214 -3.214 -3.205 -3.206 -3.214 -3.206 -3.214 -3.206 -3.214 -3.206 -3.214 -3.206 -3.214 -3.206 -3.214 -3.206 -3.214 -3.206	6.17 98.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15 1.15	8.71 .2037.7.75 .2.277.2.27.2.25 .2.27.25 .2.27.25 .2.27.25 .2.27.25 .2.27.25 .2.27.25 .2.27.25 .2.27.25 .2.27
	70	6.86 7.08 7.47 7.47 7.64 7.65 8.05 8.24 8.43	095 21k 319 471 57k 699 817 91k 1.010	.40 .67 48 69 -1.00 -1.00 -1.70	.32 11 50 -1.86 -1.67 -2.08 -2.38			7.62 7.83 8.02 8.21 8.41 8.60 8.81 9.00 9.41 9.80	621 738 833 935 -1.053 -1.159 -1.244 -1.323 -1.743	64 -1.11 -1.37 -1.61 -2.19 -2.19 -2.39 -2.14	1-4-4-4-1-3-3-3-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-			8.35 6.34 6.95 6.93 9.95 9.75 13.31	-1.028 -1.122 -1.220 -1.23 -1.419 -1.639 -1.831 -1.019	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	444.0000000000000000000000000000000000



TABLE IV.- NEGATIVE-THRUST CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIXAND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS, $\Delta\beta$ = 0.80 - Concluded (b) B = 8 - Concluded

×	βγ, deg	J	O _T	C _{Pp}	C _{PR}	ж	βγ, deg	J	¢ <u>∓</u>	C _P	C _{PR}	ж	βyr, deg	ą.	C _T	CPp	C.P.R
o.84	70	44.55.58.58.58.58.58.58.58.58.58.58.58.58.	-0.033 -0.093 -1.92 -1.92 -1.204 -1.204 -1.204 -1.204 -1.204 -1.204 -1.204 -1.205	0.36 3.17 -1.76 -1	0.26 .26 .26 .20 .1.20 .1.20 .1.20 .2.63 .2.63 .3.32 .3.55 .1.38	0.84	70 65	6.93 7.73 8.65 8.55 9.50 10.01 11.51 14.29 1.80 1.80 1.80 1.80 1.80 1.80 1.80 1.80	-0.463 -869 -1.433 -1.433 -1.833 -1.834 -2.674 -2.696 -3.891 -5.237 -5.237 -6.23 -6.23 -6.23 -7.237	-0.35 -1.00 -2.20 -3.20 -3.79 -3.47 -3.97 -3.65 -7.69 -10.68 -0.36 -10.68 -0.36 -0.3	0.8712116882171498687787457	0.90	70	6.03 6.247 6.640 6.640 7.188 7.580 6.654 7.188 7.580 6.67 7.88 7.99 7.460 7.99 7.460 7.100 7.000	1.194 1.3753 1.1726 1.1	-1.42 -1.704 -2.362 -2.362 -2.363 -2.363 -1.47 -2.66 -1.30 -7.46 -	**************************************

TABLE V.- NEAR-STATIC-THRUST CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 SIX-AND EIGHT-BLADE, DUAL-ROTATION PROPELLERS, $\Delta\beta$ = OPTIMUM

(a) B = 6

									· · · · · ·					-10			
β _F , deg	V, rt/sed	nD	O _T	CP _P	C _{PR}	βγ, deg	V, ft/sec	a	C.P	c _{Pp}	c _{FR}	β _F , deg	Tt/sec	nĐ	C _T	OP _y	c _{PR}
15	14 14 15 18 23 28 28 28 33 33 34 44 45 49 8 12 12 12 23 24 28	26.70 \$3.33 \$6.50 \$3.33 \$100.00 \$130.00 \$1	0.058 .054 .101 .111 .112 .113 .113 .123 .123 .124 .127 .127 .128 .128 .128 .128 .128 .128 .128 .128	ଢ଼୕ୡ୕ୡୖୡଽଽ୕ଽଡ଼୕ଌ୕ଌ୕ଌୄୠ୷ ୡ୕ୡୡୡଽଽଽଡ଼ୡ୕ଌ୕ଌୄୠ୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷୷	0.221 .1114 .072 .073 .040 .036 .039 .028 .028 .028 .028 .028 .028 .028 .028	20	33.39.14.79.39.36.66 50.16.17.29.11.39.11.35.78	19.9.9.49.7.7.1.19.4.4.8.7.7.9.1.17.8.2.7.8.0.7.0.0.6.8.7.7.1.19.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	ૢ૾૱ૹ૽ૹ૾ૹ૱૱૱૱ ૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱૱	. 558 . 558	\$ 5565555555555555555555555555555555555	25	8864498848454888464888	213.4 226.6.1 253.4 267.7 267.7 36.7 36.7 106.9 120.0	0.271 224 2807 0.266 3006 3006 3006 3006 3006 3006 3006 3	\$58888 48845484454845545545545	0.096 .097 .098 .100 .100 .100 .100 .100 .100 .100 .10

(b) B = 8

15	β _p , deg	V, ft/sec	nD	C _T	c _{Py}	c _{PR}	β _g , deg	V,	20	c_{T}	c _{P}}	CPR	β _μ , deg	rt/sec	пD	C-TF	C.P.	c _{PR}
140-4 179-9 1216 1067 1061 20 20 25.5 0.286 1.299 1.216 1.215	25	18 12 27 889 38 39 44 8 5 5 5 6	40.0 53.5 66.7 80.0 93.4 106.7 120.0 133.3 146.4 159.9 173.1 186.6 200.3 213.4	.172 .192 .202 .206 .210 .211 .214 .215 .216 .218 .218 .219	.156 .093 .082 .074 .069 .068 .068 .067 .068 .068	.85 .65 .66 .66 .66 .66 .66 .66 .66 .66	20	71 76 80 83 87 99 20 20 22 24	253.4 266.7 280.1 893.4 306.7 320.1 333.9 26.8 40.1 53.5 66.7 80.0 93.5	.224 .226 .239 .230 .233 .236 .239 0.246 .282 .289 .282 .299	.075 .073 .076 .077 .078 .079 .081 0.480 .258 .170 .135 .129	\$	20	41 47 52 59 61 67	120.0 133.1 146.6 160.1 173.3 186.6 200.0 213.4 226.9 240.1 253.5 266.7 280.0 292.0	0.305 .307 .308 .308 .314 .314 .314 .320 .325 .325 .335	0.115 .118 .115 .116 .113 .115 .114 .117 .118 .120 .120	0.110 .150 .110 .111 .111 .114 .115 .116 .118 .118 .118 .119






Figure 1.- Photograph of the 1000-horsepower dynamometer with the NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller.






(a) NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers.




(b) NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 two- and four-blade, single-rotation propellers.

Figure 2.- Propeller blade-form curves.

NACA RM A54G13 27



(a) Spinner A.



(b) Spinner B.



(c) Spinner C.

Figure 3.- Photograph of the spinners and platform propeller-spinner junctures.



All dimensions in inches Propeller blades shown in developed plan form Spinners are contoured to the NACA 1-series profile (reference 12.) Platforms aline with blades when $\beta_{\rm F}$ =65° and $\beta_{\rm R}$ =64.2° (β =60° for single)




Figure 4.- Details of the spinners and platform propeller-spinner junctures.




Figure 5.- The difference between the front and rear blade angles used for the optimum setting of the dual-rotation propellers.




Figure 6.- Tunnel-wall-interference correction for a 4-foot-diameter propeller in the Ames 12-foot pressure wind tunnel.






Figure 7.- Positive-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.




(c) M = 0.40

Figure 7.- Continued.



(4) 1. 0,00

Figure 7.- Continued.



(e) M = 0.70

Figure 7 .- Continued.





Figure 7.- Continued.



(g) M = 0.80

Figure 7.- Continued.




Figure 7.- Concluded.






Figure 8.- Positive-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 eight-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.



(c) M = 0.40

Figure 8.- Continued.



(d) M = 0.60

Figure 8.- Continued.




Figure 8.- Continued.




Figure 8.- Concluded.




Figure 9.- Positive-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta \beta = 0.8^{\circ}$, spinner A.

(b) M = 0.70

Figure 9.- Continued.





Figure 9.- Continued.



(a) M = 0.00

Figure 9.- Continued.




Figure 9.- Concluded.




Figure 10.- Positive-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta = 0.8^{\circ}$, spinner B.




Figure 10.- Continued.




Figure 10.- Concluded.






Figure 11.- Positive-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 eightblade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta \beta$ = 0.8°, spinner A.








(b) M = 0.70

Figure 11.- Continued.






Figure 11.- Continued.










Figure 11.- Continued.






(e) M = 0.84

Figure 11.- Continued.








Figure 11.- Concluded.






Figure 12.- Positive-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 two-blade, single-rotation propeller, spinner C.




Figure 13.- Variation of power coefficients of the front and rear components with advance-diameter ratio for positive thrust; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.




Figure 13.- Continued.




Figure 13.- Continued.






Figure 13.- Concluded.




Figure 14.- Variation of power coefficients of the front and rear components with advance-diameter ratio for positive thrust; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 eight-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.




Figure 14.- Continued.




Figure 14.- Continued.



Figure 14.- Concluded.




Figure 15.- Variation of power coefficients of the front and rear components with advance-diameter ratio for positive thrust; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta = 0.8^{\circ}$, spinner A.




Figure 15.- Continued.




Figure 15.- Concluded.




Figure 16.- Variation of power coefficients of the front and rear components with advance-diameter ratio for positive thrust; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta \beta \approx 0.8^{\circ}$, spinner B.




Figure 16.- Concluded.




Figure 17.- Variation of power coefficients of the front and rear components with advance-diameter ratio for positive thrust; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 eight-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta = 0.8^{\circ}$, spinner A.




Figure 17.- Continued.



NACA RM A54G13

(e) M = 0.84

2.2

20

1.8

ය I.6 ≱

coefficient,

(f) M = 0.90


3.6

Figure 17.- Concluded.

6.0 6.4 2.8 3.2 Advance - diameter ratio, J

.2

.3



(b) B = 8, $\Delta \beta$ = optimum, spinner A.

.7

8.

Figure 18.- The effect of Mach number on maximum efficiency; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers and the NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 two-blade, single-rotation propeller.

.5

Mach number, M






Figure 18.- Concluded.






Figure 19.- The effect of advance-diameter ratio on maximum efficiency; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers, spinner A.




Figure 19.- Concluded.




Figure 20.- The effect of tip Mach number on maximum efficiency; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers, spinner A.




Figure 21.- Comparison of the positive-thrust characteristics of the NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers; $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.







Figure 22.- Comparison of the positive-thrust characteristics of the NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller with $\Delta\beta$ = optimum and $\Delta\beta$ = 0.8°, spinner A.







(c) M = 0.80, $\beta_F = 65^{\circ}$ (d) M = 0.80, $\beta_F = 70^{\circ}$

Figure 22.- Concluded.






Figure 23.- Comparison of the positive-thrust characteristics of the NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller with the platform-juncture gaps open and sealed; $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.







Figure 24.- Negative-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 sixblade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.








(b) M = 0.15, C_P vs. J

Figure 24.- Continued.






(c) M = 0.20, C_T vs. J

Figure 24.- Continued.








(d) M = 0.20, C_P vs. J

Figure 24.- Continued.








(e) M = 0.40, C_{T} vs. J


Figure 24.- Continued.






(f) M = 0.40, $C_P vs. J$

Figure 24.- Continued.






(g) M = 0.60, C_{T} vs. J

Figure 24.- Continued.








(h)
$$M = 0.60$$
, C_P vs. J

Figure 24.- Continued.






(i) M = 0.70, C_{T} vs. J

Figure 24. - Continued.






(j) M = 0.70, C_P vs. J

Figure 24.- Continued.






(k) M = 0.75, $C_{\text{T}} \text{ vs. } J$

Figure 24.- Continued.








(1)
$$M = 0.75$$
, $C_P vs. J$


Figure 24.- Continued.






(m) M = 0.80, $C_{\rm T}$ vs. J


Figure 24.- Continued.






(n) M = 0.80, C_P vs. J

Figure 24.- Continued.







(o) M = 0.84, $C_{\overline{Y}}$ vs. J

Figure 24.- Continued.







(p) M = 0.84, $C_P vs. J$

Figure 24.- Concluded.







Figure 25.- Negative-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 eight-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.






(b) M = 0.15, $C_P \text{ vs. } J$

Figure 25.- Continued.






(c) M = 0.20, C_{T} vs. J


Figure 25.- Continued.






(d) M = 0.20, $C_P vs. J$

Figure 25.- Continued.






(e) M = 0.40, C_{T} vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.








(f) M = 0.40, C_P vs. J


Figure 25.- Continued.






(g) M = 0.60, $C_{\rm T}$ vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.








(h) M = 0.60, $C_P vs. J$


Figure 25.- Continued.






(i) M = 0.70, $C_{\rm T}$ vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.






(j) M = 0.70, C_P vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.






(k) M = 0.75, C_{T} vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.








(1) M = 0.75, C_P vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.



110




(m) M = 0.80, C_T vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.








(n) M = 0.80, C_p vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.




(o) M = 0.84, $C_{\rm T}$ vs. J

Figure 25.- Continued.







(p) M = 0.84, C_P vs. J

Figure 25.- Concluded.







Figure 26.- Negative-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 sixblade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = 0.8°, spinner A.









(b) M = 0.70

Figure 26.- Continued.






(c) M = 0.75

Figure 26.- Continued.








(d) M = 0.80

Figure 26.- Continued.








Figure 26.- Continued.



(f) M = 0.90

Figure 26.- Concluded.






(a) M = 0.60

Figure 27.- Negative-thrust characteristics; NACA 4 -(5)(05)-037 eightblade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = 0.8°, spinner A.








(b)
$$M = 0.70$$

Figure 27.- Continued.







(c) M = 0.75

Figure 27.- Continued.








(d)
$$M = 0.80$$

Figure 27.- Continued.






(e) M = 0.84

Figure 27.- Continued.







(f) M = 0.90

Figure 27.- Concluded.







Figure 28.- Negative-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 two-blade, single-rotation propeller, spinner C.





(a) Concluded.

Figure 28.- Continued.








Figure 28.- Continued.






(d) M = 0.60


Figure 28.- Continued.





(e) M = 0.70

Figure 28.- Continued.



(f) M = 0.80

Figure 28.- Concluded.








Figure 29.- Negative-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 fourblade, single-rotation propeller, spinner C.






(b) M = 0.15, $C_P \text{ vs. } J$

Figure 29.- Concluded.



(a) M = 0.15, C_{T} vs. J


Figure 30.- The effect of blade angle on the negative-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-blade, dual-rotation propeller, $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.



(b) M = 0.15, $C_P vs. J$

Figure 30.- Continued.






(c) M = 0.20

Figure 30.- Continued.





(d) M = 0.40

Figure 30.- Continued.









Figure 30.- Continued.






Figure 30.- Continued.






Figure 30.- Continued.





(n) M = 0.00

Figure 30.- Continued.



(i) M = 0.84

Figure 30.- Concluded.




Figure 31.- The effect of blade angle on the negative-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-041 two-and four-blade, single-rotation propellers, spinner C, M = 0.15.




Figure 31.- Concluded.





Figure 32.- Near static-thrust characteristics; NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six-and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers, $\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A.




nD, ft/sec V vs. nD


(a) B = 6



C_T vs. nD




C_P vs. nD



V vs. nD

(b) B = 8

Figure 32.- Concluded.








Figure 33.- Variation of the thrust per horsepower with power disc loading for operation of the NACA 4-(5)(05)-037 six- and eight-blade, dual-rotation propellers ($\Delta\beta$ = optimum, spinner A) at near static conditions, as compared with theory and with the results of a previous investigation.






Figure 34.- Variation of thrust per horsepower with power coefficient obtained at near static conditions as compared with the results of previous investigations at static conditions.

NACA-Langley - 10-8-84 - 350

